

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat "ht and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					400
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/687,230	10/13/00	BRASELMANN	S		ONYX1027-DI\
_			EXAMINER		
		HM12/0328			
GREGORY GIOTTA PH D			TLIN	G.P	
VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL			ART U	VIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ACEUTICALS II RCH DRIVE		165	•	4
IVICIINOME CE	1 24000		DATE MAIL		03/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No. 09/687,230

Applicant(s)

Braselmann

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Peter Tung

Group Art Unit 1652

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· ·			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on	cted to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 8-12 are pending.

Specification

2. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the following reason(s): the sequence on page 9, line 27 is not identified by a SEQ ID NO:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 8 is indefinite as it is unclear what is meant by an intermediate SH2 domain. Additionally, what "SH2" represents should be identified.
- 6. The term "bromodomain" in claim 9 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "bromodomain" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a

Application/Control Number: 09/687,230

Art Unit: 1652

standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The specification only identifies bromodomain as amino acids 151 to 313 of SEQ ID NO: 2 or may be involved in protein-protein interactions (page 10, lines 21-25).

- 7. Claim 10 is indefinite as the claim is drawn to an amino acid sequence shown in Figure 2 or an amino acid sequence encoded by the cDNA shown in Figure 1. The instant specification does not disclose Figures 1 or 2 or a Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawings.
- 8. The terms "Glu tag" and "myc epitope tag" in claim 12 are relative terms which render the claim indefinite. The terms "Glu tag" and "myc epitope tag" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.
- 9. Claim 11 is indefinite because it depends upon an indefinite base claim and fails to correct the problem.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or encoded by SEQ ID NO: 1, does not reasonably provide enablement for any phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated protein that binds

Application/Control Number: 09/687,230

Art Unit: 1652

to the intermediate SH2 domain on the regulatory subunit of phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims. The breadth of the claim encompasses any phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated protein that binds to the intermediate SH2 domain on the regulatory subunit of phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase. However, insufficient guidance and examples are provided on any other phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated protein besides that of SEQ ID NO: 2 or encoded by SEQ ID NO: 1. The skill of those in the art is low in making phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated protein that binds to the intermediate SH2 domain on the regulatory subunit of phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase based only upon the amino acid and nucleic acid sequence of one such phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated protein. There is unpredictability in making such a protein without any guidance on how another such phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated protein besides that of SEQ ID NO: 2 can be obtained and from what sources one would find these proteins. Undue experimentation

Application/Control Number: 09/687,230

Art Unit: 1652

would be required to enable the full scope of the claims based upon the limited scope of the

disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 12.

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

13. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Felder et al. Felder et

al. teach (page 1451, Table 1; page 1452, Table 2) phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase associated

proteins which bind SH2 domains on the regulatory subunit, which is that of the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 14.

should be directed to Peter Tung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-9436. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Ponnathapu Achutamurthy, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT FYAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800

Page 5